

Gaussian Process (GP) Regression - Illustration

GP prior

GP regression example

Inference result

- ▶ Grey - Samples from the GP prior
- ▶ Green - Radial Basis Function covariance
- ▶ Full and "infinite" covariance matrix $K \in M_{\infty, \infty}$

- ▶ Data generated from the model
- ▶ Marginal distributions of multivariate Gaussian are Gaussian
- ▶ It is sufficient to look at the values of the covariance at the input locations
- ▶ Blue - Mean prediction ± 2 Std devs
- ▶ Conditional distributions of multivariate Gaussian are Gaussian
- ▶ Predictions can be made by calculating conditional distributions

Bayesian Inference for GPs

$$p(\text{par}|\text{data}) = \frac{p(\text{data}|\text{par})p(\text{par})}{\int p(\text{data}|\text{par})p(\text{par})d\text{par}}$$

Marginal likelihood

- ▶ Marginal likelihood

$$p(\text{data}|\text{par}) = \int p(\text{data}|\text{latent})p(\text{latent}|\text{par})d\text{latent}$$

can only be computed if $p(\text{data}|\text{latent})$ is Gaussian

- ▶ ... even then

$$\log p(\text{data}|\text{par}) = -\frac{1}{2} \log |K| - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{y}^T K^{-1} \mathbf{y} + \text{const.}$$

where $K = K(\text{par})$ is an $n \times n$ dense matrix!

Stochastic Gradient Langevin Dynamics (SGLD) algorithm

- ▶ Stochastic gradient ascent optimization with injected noise η_t

$$\text{par}' = \text{par} + \frac{\alpha_t}{2} \widetilde{\nabla}_{\text{par}} \log p(\text{data}|\text{par})p(\text{par}) + \eta_t \quad \eta_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \alpha_t) \quad \alpha_t \rightarrow 0$$

- ▶ First phase - α_t large - Optimization phase
 - Injected noise η_t is smaller than the gradient-based update
 - Behavior similar to stochastic gradient ascent
- ▶ Second phase - α_t small - Langevin dynamics phase
 - Injected noise η_t dominates gradient-based update
 - ✓ Acceptance rate reaches one so no need to accept/reject
 - ✓ No need to evaluate $p(\text{data}|\text{par})$
 - ✓ We only need stochastic gradients to obtain samples from $p(\text{par}|\text{data})$

Stochastic gradients for GPs

- ▶ Marginal likelihood

$$\log p(\text{data}|\text{par}) = -\frac{1}{2} \log |K| - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{y}^T K^{-1} \mathbf{y} + \text{const.}$$

- ▶ Derivatives wrt par

$$\frac{\partial \log p(\text{data}|\text{par})}{\partial \text{par}_i} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left(K^{-1} \frac{\partial K}{\partial \text{par}_i} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{y}^T K^{-1} \frac{\partial K}{\partial \text{par}_i} K^{-1} \mathbf{y}$$

- ▶ Stochastic estimate of the trace

$$\text{Tr} \left(K^{-1} \frac{\partial K}{\partial \text{par}_i} \right) = \text{Tr} \left(K^{-1} \frac{\partial K}{\partial \text{par}_i} E[\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}^T] \right) = E \left[\mathbf{r}^T K^{-1} \frac{\partial K}{\partial \text{par}_i} \mathbf{r} \right]$$

with $E[\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}^T] = I - \text{e.g.}, r_j$ drawn from $\{-1, 1\}$ with $p = 1/2$

- ▶ Stochastic gradient

$$-\frac{1}{2N_r} \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \mathbf{r}^{(i)T} K^{-1} \frac{\partial K}{\partial \text{par}_i} \mathbf{r}^{(i)} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{y}^T K^{-1} \frac{\partial K}{\partial \text{par}_i} K^{-1} \mathbf{y}$$

- ▶ Linear systems only!

Solving linear systems

- ▶ Linear systems:

$$K\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{b}$$

- ▶ Can be solved using the Conjugate Gradient algorithm:

$$\mathbf{s} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T K \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{b} \right)$$

- ▶ Iterative update $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}_0 + \delta_1 + \dots + \delta_T$
- ▶ Requires only Covariance Matrix Vector Products (CMVPs)! $O(n^2)$ time
- ▶ No need to store K ! $O(n)$ space

ULISSE - the Unbiased Linear System SolvEr

- ▶ Accelerate the solution of dense linear systems
- ▶ ... returning an unbiased estimate of the solution
- ▶ Full CG solution:

$$\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}_0 + \delta_1 + \dots + \delta_l + \delta_{l+1} \dots + \delta_T$$

- ▶ ULISSE:

- ▶ Final solution is an unbiased estimate of \mathbf{s} !

- ✓ Fast computation of stochastic gradients
- ✓ Small relative error wrt exact gradients

$$\text{rel square norm} = \frac{\|\mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \tilde{\mathbf{g}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\|^2}{\|\mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\|^2}$$

Traditional solvers vs ULISSE

Fast CMVPs

Preconditioning

CG vs ULISSE with $\beta = 1$

CG vs ULISSE with $\beta = 100$

Inference Results

Concrete data $n \approx 1K$

Census data $n \approx 23K$

Conclusions

- ▶ Novel adaptation of SGLD to infer covariance parameters in Gaussian processes
 - ✓ Accurate in characterizing the posterior distribution over covariance parameters
 - ✓ Scales with $O(n)$ in space and with $O(n^2)$ in time
 - ✓ Massively parallelizable
 - ✓ Without assuming factorization of the likelihood (mini-batches)
 - ✓ Without considering subsets of the data or inducing points
 - ✓ Without considering subsets of the spectrum of the covariance
 - ✓ Without imposing sparsity on the covariance or its inverse
- ▶ Novel linear solver - ULISSE
 - ✓ Early stop of iterative linear solver that yields an unbiased solution
 - ✓ Can be adopted to accelerate **any** iterative solver
- ▶ Ongoing work
 - How to extend this work to other likelihoods
 - Tuning of a preconditioner in SGLD
 - Mixed precision calculations within the Conjugate Gradient algorithm

References

- [1] M. Filippone and M. Girolami, Pseudo-marginal Bayesian inference for Gaussian processes. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 36(11):2214-2226, 2014.
- [2] M. Filippone et al. Probabilistic Prediction of Neurological Disorders with a Statistical Assessment of Neuroimaging Data Modalities. *Annals of Applied Statistics*, 6(4):1883-1905, 2012.
- [3] M. N. Gibbs, *Bayesian Gaussian processes for regression and classification*. PhD thesis, University of Cambridge, 1997.
- [4] M. Welling and Y. W. Teh, Bayesian Learning via Stochastic Gradient Langevin Dynamics. *ICML 2011*, pp. 681-688. Omnipress, 2011.